



Joseph Cacace has built a track record of success at all levels of federal and state courts and in arbitrations and mediations.

Joe Cacace is a seasoned trial lawyer who represents clients in complex business litigation and high-stakes commercial disputes. He has significant experience litigating contract claims, shareholder and partnership disputes, breach of fiduciary duty matters, trade secret misappropriation, non-competition and non-solicitation cases, and other corporate conflicts in both state and federal courts. Known for his strategic insight and courtroom skill, Joe helps businesses and individuals protect their interests and resolve disputes efficiently and effectively.

In addition to his business litigation practice, Joe is widely recognized for his work in First Amendment, defamation, and media law. He regularly represents clients in cases involving reputational harm, free speech, and press-related matters, offering experience that complements his business litigation practice, where reputational issues often intersect with corporate disputes.

Joe's clients include business owners, executives, media entities, and individuals facing reputational or financial risk. He is frequently sought out for his ability to manage high-profile, sensitive litigation while maintaining focus on achieving favorable outcomes.

Mr. Cacace has served on the Amicus Brief and Appellate-Bench Bar Committees of the Massachusetts Bar Association, and the Board of Governors of the Law Clerks' Society of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court. He also served on the Large Law Firm Subcommittee of the Supreme Judicial Court's Steering Committee on Lawyer Well-Being.

Mr. Cacace is a frequent presenter on civil litigation topics and has commented in the media on various legal issues.

Prior to joining Todd & Weld, Mr. Cacace was a litigator at a large Boston-based multinational law firm. He served as a law clerk to the Honorable Robert J. Cordy of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court.

Practice Areas

- Business Litigation
- Appellate Litigation
- Media, First Amendment, and Defamation Law
- Employment Law and Litigation
- Real Estate Litigation
- · Civil Rights Litigation
- Class Action/Mass Tort Litigation
- Professional Liability Litigation

Representative Results

Business Litigation

- Persuaded a Massachusetts Superior Court judge to grant a preliminary injunction in a shareholder dispute
 ordering that a newly elected board of directors and new corporate officers remain in place at a medical
 cannabis dispensary and cultivation company
- Obtained dismissal with prejudice of minority shareholder oppression action in federal court in New Jersey
- Secured a favorable settlement for 50 percent shareholders of closely held regional manufacturing company related to arbitration of buyout dispute involving sophisticated business valuation issues and direct and derivative claims for breach of fiduciary duties and breach of contract
- Obtained favorable settlement for ophthalmologist after filing suit against former partner for breaches of contract and fiduciary duty in connection with breakup of the business
- Represented judgment creditor in fraudulent transfer action; obtained injunctive relief and dismissal of abuse of process claims under anti-SLAPP statute; secured favorable settlement
- Represented car wash equipment distribution company in breach of contract dispute with car wash owner,
 which settled after obtaining favorable evidentiary ruling immediately before trial

Defamation

- Defended media company in major defamation lawsuit brought by voting technology company concerning coverage of 2020 U.S. presidential election, which resulted in confidential settlement during jury selection after a series of favorable pretrial rulings
- Obtained \$500,000 defamation judgment against media defendant, which represented that it would cease
 operations of the website that published the defamatory article and issued a public apology to the firm's
 client, a Catholic priest and the Judicial Vicar of the Diocese of Manchester, N.H.

 Obtained a \$1.5 million federal court jury verdict on behalf of a law enforcement official for defamation and job discrimination

Appellate Results

- Judge Rotenberg Educ. Ctr, Inc., & others v. Commissioner of the Department of Developmental Services, 492
 Mass. 772 (2023) (Persuaded Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court to uphold a 1987 consent decree
 allowing in limited circumstances life-saving aversive treatments for patients with severe emotional and
 intellectual disabilities)
- Vo v. De Jager (Mass. App. Div. 2022) (Persuaded Appellate Division of Boston Municipal Court to vacate jury verdict and order new trial in defamation case based upon plaintiff's failure to identify the allegedly defamatory statements, evidentiary errors, and an erroneous jury instruction on the evidentiary impact of a prior criminal acquittal in a civil case)
- Judge Rotenberg Educ. Ctr., Inc. v. U.S. Food & Drug Admin., et al., 3 F.4th 390 (D.C. Cir. 2021) (Persuaded D.C. Circuit to vacate FDA's ban of electrical stimulation devices used to treat aggressive or self-injurious behavior because banning a medical device for a particular purpose regulates the practice of medicine in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 396)
- *Heagney v. Wong*, 915 F.3d 805 (1st Cir. 2019) (First appellate decision affirming plaintiff's verdict for employer's violation of M.G.L. c. 151B, § 4(9), which protects employees from having to disclose criminal charges that do not result in conviction)
- Preston v. Nagel, 857 F.3d 1382 (Fed. Cir. 2017) (Persuaded U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit that
 the America Invents Act does not permit appellate review of an order remanding a case from federal to state
 court)

Civil Rights and Tort Claims

- Defeated government's motion to dismiss Federal Tort Claims Act lawsuit filed on behalf of children separated from their parents at the border before favorably settling the case
- Persuaded a federal district court judge in Massachusetts to permit a civil rights class action lawsuit to proceed against the City of Methuen, its police chief, and several individual police officers for using a factually inaccurate and coercive Spanish-language advice-of-rights form during OUI arrests
- Represented minor student in lawsuit against municipality, school officials, and former teacher for sexual
 harassment and abuse by former teacher, which settled favorably after mediation following summary
 judgment briefing

Class Action

• Defense of putative class actions under the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, resulting in favorable settlements and dismissals with prejudice

Experience

- Todd & Weld LLP, 2013-present
- Goodwin Procter LLP, 2010-2013
- Law Clerk to the Honorable Robert J. Cordy, Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, 2008-2009

Bar Admissions

- Massachusetts
- New Hampshire
- U.S. District Court, Massachusetts
- U.S. District Court, New Hampshire
- U.S. Court of Appeals, First Circuit
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Third Circuit
- U.S. Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

Education

- Suffolk University Law School (J.D., summa cum laude, 2008) Editor-in-Chief, Suffolk University Law Review;
 Suffolk University Law Faculty Outstanding Student Award
- Boston College (B.A., cum laude, 2003)

Professional Activities

- Former member of the Massachusetts Bar Association's Appellate Bench Bar Committee and Amicus Brief Committee
- Member, Large Law Firm Subcommittee of Supreme Judicial Court Steering Committee on Lawyer Well-Being (2018-2019)
- American Bar Association
- Massachusetts Bar Association
- New Hampshire Bar Association
- Boston Bar Association

Honors and Awards

- Named by Massachusetts Lawyers Weekly as a 'Go To Lawyer' for Commercial and Consumer Law (2025)
- Chosen by Massachusetts Lawyers Weekly for Excellence in Pro Bono as part of Excellence in Law awards (2025)
- Named to Best Lawyers in America, Commercial Litigation (2025-2026)

- Named to Best Lawyers in America: Ones to Watch for Appellate Practice; Commercial Litigation; Litigation –
 Labor and Employment (2022-2024)
- Named to Massachusetts Super Lawyers for Business Litigation (2023-present)
- Named by Super Lawyers to Massachusetts Rising Stars for Business Litigation (2015-2021)
- Named to Boston magazine's Top Lawyers (2023-present)

Publications/Presentations

- Presenter, Getting the Benefit of the Bargain in Breach of Contract Claims, MCLE (2021)
- Panel Chair, Prevailing in COVID-19 Litigation, MCLE Webinar (2021)
- Presenter, Social Media Inside and Outside of the Workplace, Real Estate Bar Association (2020)
- Presenter, How to be Successful in Remote Court Hearings, MCLE (2020)
- Presenter, Social Media Issues Inside and Outside the Workplace, MCLE's 22nd Annual Paralegal Conference (2020)
- "Safely navigating the ethical perils of social media," Massachusetts Lawyers Weekly (2020)
- "Taxing discovery: Understanding when returns are privileged," Massachusetts Lawyers Weekly (2018)
- "Compelling Evidence from Non-parties in Arbitration, Massachusetts Lawyers Weekly (2015)
- "Plurality Decisions in the Supreme Court of the United States: A Reexamination of the *Marks* Doctrine After *Rapanos v. United States*," 41 Suffolk U.L Rev. 97 (2007)

In the Community

• Board of Governors, Law Clerks' Society of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts

News & Insights

- Todd and Weld Attorneys Named to Boston Magazine 2025 Top Lawyers List
- Todd & Weld Attorneys File Amicus Brief on Behalf of Bipartisan Former Federal Judges and Former U.S.
 Attorneys in United States v. Letitia A. James Case
- Howard Cooper, Benjamin Wish, Joseph Cacace and Josh Launer File Amicus Brief on Behalf of Bipartisan
 Former Federal Judges and Former U.S. Attorneys in United States v. James B. Comey, Jr. Case
- Partner Joseph M. Cacace Named a 2025 'Go To Lawyer' for Commercial and Consumer Law by Massachusetts Lawyers Weekly
- Thirty-Seven Todd & Weld Attorneys Named to 2025 Massachusetts Super Lawyers & Rising Stars List
- 21 Todd & Weld Attorneys Recognized in 2026 Best Lawyers in America
- Joe Cacace Comments on 1st Circuit Ruling Upholding Free Speech
- Joseph Cacace chosen by Mass. Lawyers Weekly for Excellence in Pro Bono
- Newsmax settles defamation suit filed by Smartmatic

- Nine Todd & Weld attorneys selected to Boston magazine's Top Lawyers 2023
- Joseph Cacace offers expert analysis on pending appeal to SJC on evidentiary time limits
- Joseph Cacace offers choice-of-law analysis in news article
- Joseph Cacace quoted in Boston Globe column on border separation court ruling
- 17 Todd & Weld Attorneys Selected to Boston Magazine's 2022 Top Lawyers
- Eight Todd & Weld attorneys recognized in 2023 Best Lawyers: Ones to Watch in America
- Joseph Cacace offers insight into recent choice-of-law ruling in MA Business Litigation Session
- Joseph Cacace elected to partnership at Todd & Weld LLP
- Joe Cacace quoted in Boston Globe article on potential compensation for immigrant families separated at border
- 30 Todd & Weld attorneys named 2021 Massachusetts Super Lawyers and Rising Stars
- Eight Todd & Weld attorneys selected to Ones to Watch from Best Lawyers
- Joseph Cacace to present on strategies for successfully litigating breach of contract cases
- Todd & Weld receives Law Firm Pro Bono Award at MBA's Access to Justice event
- Joseph Cacace quoted in Boston Globe on potential payments to immigrant families separated at border
- Todd & Weld attorneys to present on how to prevail in COVID-19 litigation
- Joe Cacace quoted in news article detailing FTCA lawsuit filed on behalf of migrant children separated from families at border
- 30 Todd & Weld attorneys named 2020 Massachusetts Super Lawyers and Rising Stars
- Joseph Cacace and Elizabeth Olien to present on effective advocacy in remote court hearings
- Joe Cacace to present at MCLE's 22nd Annual Paralegal Conference
- In published article Joseph Cacace analyzes ethical perils lawyers face on social media
- 31 Todd & Weld attorneys named 2019 Massachusetts Super Lawyers and Rising Stars
- SJC panel report addresses attorney well-being
- Howard Cooper, Joseph Cacace argue in federal court against dismissal of case seeking damages for migrant children separated from families at border
- Joe Cacace quoted in WGBH article on client's effort to clear his name in overtime scandal
- Joe Cacace quoted in news article on border separation lawsuit
- Joseph Cacace quoted in article on suit seeking damages for migrant children separated from families at border
- Joe Cacace quoted in article on whether Trump Emoluments Clause lawsuit could reveal tax returns
- Joseph Cacace comments in article on recent revisions to state's appellate rules
- Joseph Cacace to serve on Large Law Firm Subcommittee of newly created SJC Steering Committee on Lawyer Well-Being
- 30 Todd & Weld attorneys named 2018 Massachusetts Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

- Children sue Trump Administration officials for damages in class action over trauma caused by unlawful detention and separation from their parents
- Joseph Cacace in article published by Mass. Lawyers Weekly analyzes discoverability of tax returns
- Nick Carter quoted in news article on appeal of \$1.5M verdict for client
- NBC Boston airs report on firm's class action vs. City of Methuen over faulty and coercive OUI form
- 24 Todd & Weld attorneys named 2017 Massachusetts Super Lawyers and Rising Stars
- Joseph Cacace quoted in articles reporting on significant Federal Circuit ruling in favor of clients
- Joe Cacace participates in event featuring former SJC Justice Robert Cordy
- Joseph Cacace appointed to two MBA committees

Results

- Firm obtains \$500K defamation judgment against Church Militant
- Judge rejects government's request to dismiss suit seeking compensation, mental health care payments for children forcibly separated from families at border
- Firm obtains preliminary injunction in shareholder dispute litigation
- Federal judge allows civil rights lawsuit to proceed against City of Methuen over faulty and coercive OUI form
- Firm obtains two Top Ten largest 2017 jury verdicts in Massachusetts
- Howard Cooper, Joseph Cacace obtain precedential ruling defining limits of appellate review of remand order related to patent counterclaims